



**agriculture &  
rural development**

Department:  
**Agriculture and Rural Development**  
North West Provincial Government  
**REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**



AgriCentre Building Cnr.  
Dr. James Moroka and  
Stadium Rd  
Private Bag X2039,  
Mmabatho  
2735

**CHIEF DIRECTORATE: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**  
**DIRECTORATE: SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT**

Tel: +27 (18) 389 5297  
Fax: +27(18) 384 4571  
E-mail: mmatsheka@nwpg.gov.za

REF NO: 7/1/2/3/3

The Manager  
EXCELLENT ELECTRICAL PROJECTS  
P O Box 2697,  
Edenvale  
1619

**SUBJECT: 13 DARD 19/2024: UPGRADE OF ELECTRICITY INFRASTRUCTURE  
(PHASE TWO) AT TAUNG AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE.**

Your offer to the Rand value of **R1 649 479.04** (One Million Six Hundred and Forty-Nine Thousand Four Hundred and Seventy Nine Rand Four Cents) to the above named quotation has been accepted subject to all requirements as contained in the bid document.

This acceptance is not an official order consequently no delivery/service should be carried out until an official order is received.

Please indicate in writing within five working days within receipt of this letter as to whether you are accepting the above order. Further communication on this matter must be directed to **Ms M MATSHEKA** 018 384 6080.

Regards

**MR. T.Z MOKHATLA**  
**HEAD OF DEPARTMENT**

DATE: 18/12/2024



**agriculture &  
rural development**  
Department:  
**Agriculture and Rural Development**  
North West Provincial Government  
**REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**



AgriCentre Building Cnr.  
Dr. James Moroka and  
Stadium Rd  
Private Bag X2039,  
Mmabatho  
2735

**CHIEF DIRECTORATE: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**  
**DIRECTORATE: SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT**

Tel: +27 (18) 384 6080  
E-mail: Matshekam@nwpg.gov.za

Ref: 7/1/2/2

**TO : HEAD OF DEPARTMENT**

**FROM : DEPARTMENTAL BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE (DBAC)**

**DATE : 12 DECEMBER 2024**

**SUBJECT : 13 DARD 19/2024: UPGRADE OF ELECTRICITY INFRASTRUCTURE  
PHASE TWO AT TAUNG AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE**

### 1. PURPOSE

To submit the Departmental Bid Adjudication Committee report to the Head of Department, for approval of bid **13 DARD 19/2024** – Upgrade of electricity infrastructure phase two at Taung Agricultural College.

### 2. DETAILS OF THE BID

Date of Advertisement	:	14 <sup>th</sup> October 2024
Briefing Sessions	:	24 <sup>th</sup> October 2024 at 11:00
Closing date of bid	:	06 <sup>th</sup> November 2024 at 11:00
Number of bids received	:	20
Number of late bids	:	0
Validity period	:	90 days after closing date

### 3. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 3.1 The Head of the Department granted the Financial Management Chief Directorate, authority to invite prospective bidders to submit bids for upgrade of electricity infrastructure phase two at Taung Agricultural College.
- 3.2 The need for this service was also identified as part of the approved Project List and Procurement plan.

"Let's grow North West together"

3.3 An open bid was advertised on the 14<sup>th</sup> of October 2024 in the following Bulletins: -  
 - E-Tender Portal  
 - Departmental Website

3.4 On the closing date, twenty **(20)** bidders responded and there were no late bids.

No	Bidder Name
1	Gwes Group
2	Bantsi Engineering Services
3	Ikageng Electrical Contractors 1
4	Excellent Electrical Projects
5	Reamodirela Trading And Enterprise
6	SGT Electricals
7	Masiane Energy And Consulting
8	Masgac Trading Enterprise
9	Full Swing Trading 458
10	Haki Consultant Engineers
11	Zulyk Trading Enterprise
12	Motsewarona Construction And Maintenance
13	Badirisani Trading Enterprise
14	Lemosia JV Kgothatso
15	Mohaumolutsi JV Experts Supplies
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects
17	Tumisang Transport And Projects JV Rolling Snowball Holdings
18	Kabelo Wa Matsheka Trading
19	Best Enough Trading And Projects 535 t/a Leanolarona Holdings
20	Colmab Electrical

3.5 The BAC noted on the BEC report, paragraph 3.4.1 that following seven **(07)** bidders did not attend compulsory site briefing and were not considered for evaluation: -

No	Bidder Name
1	Gwes Group
8	Masgac Trading Enterprise
9	Full Swing Trading 458
11	Zulyk Trading Enterprise
12	Motsewarona Construction And Maintenance
13	Badirisani Trading Enterprise
19	Best Enough Trading And Projects 535 t/a Leanolarona Holdings

#### 4. ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE MEMBERS

4.1 The Bid Adjudication Committee members, who adjudicated this bid, were appointed by the Head of Department and the following members accepted their appointments and attended the meeting: -

- Mr M. Mompei : Chairperson
- Ms M. Seate : Secretariat
- Ms M. Matsheka : Member
- Dr L. Madyibi : Member
- Ms B. Pule : Member
- Ms O. Bodigelo-Nyezi : Member

4.2 Having received confirmation that the BAC formed a quorum, the chairperson allowed members in attendance to declare their status in the bid and members indicated that they did not have any conflict of interest in the bid to be adjudicated. This was expressed by signing the declaration form, which is incorporated in the attendance register, as well as verbally.

The following members recorded their apologies: -

- Mr M. Matlhabe : Member – National Event out of the Province
- Ms A. Dikolomela : Member – Provincial Programme in Magogong

4.3 The meeting for the above-mentioned bid was held on the **28<sup>th</sup> of November 2024** at Ngwenya Hotel - Klerksdorp.

#### 5. BID DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED FOR EVALUATION ARE AS FOLLOWS: -

No	Bidder Name	Price
2	Bantsi Engineering Services	R2 017 194,17
3	Ikageng Electrical Contractors 1	R2 997 698,61
4	Excellent Electrical Projects	R1 649 479,04
5	Reamodirela Trading And Enterprise	R1 550 826,75
6	SGT Electricals	R3 199 255,84
7	Masiane Energy And Consulting	R3 142 766,00
10	Haki Consultant Engineers	R1 891 238,25
14	Lemosia JV Kgothatso	R1 718 806,10
15	Mohaumolutsi JV Experts Supplies	R2 894 298,75
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects	R2 899 959,37
17	Tumisang Transport And Projects JV Rolling Snowball Holdings	R1 294 890,34
18	Kabelo Wa Matsheka Trading	R3 889 700,00
20	Colmab Electrical	R2 519 420,00



## 5.1 Evaluation Methodology

The adjudication committee noted that the evaluation process entailed the following three (03) phases:

### 5.1.1 Phase 1 - Evaluation on Mandatory / Legal Requirements:

The prospective bidders are required to provide mandatory documentation as stated in the Bid Document.

### 5.1.2 Phase 2 - Technical / Functionality Requirements:

This evaluation is based on the responses using the set criteria i.e. experience on similar jobs, proven track record and financial capability. The threshold values set for the qualification of bidders was a minimum of eighty (80) points. Bidders who scored below minimum threshold were not considered for the next stage of evaluation.

### 5.1.3 Phase 3 – Preference Points (Price and Points for Specific Goals):

This stage entails evaluation in terms of Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, i.e. Evaluation of Price and Points for Specific Goals.

## 6. EVALUATION CRITERIA

### 6.1 Phase 1 - Evaluation on Mandatory / Legal Requirements

6.1.1 The bidders were required to comply with the below requirements: -

- Attend Compulsory Briefing Session
- Submit Standard bidding documents (original, fully completed and signed where applicable)
- Submit Copy of Central Supplier Database report.
- SARS Tax Pin.
- In case of Joint Venture, valid agreement between the parties
- Possess valid CIDB Grade 3EB/3EP Certificate or Higher

6.1.2 During phase 1 of evaluation, six (06) bidders out of thirteen (13) were eliminated with reason below: -

No	Bidders Name:	Reason for disqualification
3	Ikageng Electrical Contractors 1	Did not disclose other business interests (MAAA0557484) (MAAA0961276) (MAAA1142540) and are active in CSD system
10	Haki Consultant Engineers	CSD report not attached
14	Lemosa JV Kgothatso	Did not disclose other business interests (MAAA1367634) and is active in CSD system

15	Mohaumolutsi JV Experts Supplies	SBD1 not fully completed address part
18	Kabelo Wa Matsheka Trading	SBD1 not fully completed email address on SBD1 and 2.1 of SBD4
20	Colmab Electrical	Did not disclose other business interest (MAAA1284092) and is active in CSD system

6.1.3 The BAC subjected all six **(06)** bidders who were eliminated to a verification process to validate the reasons for elimination regardless of reason for elimination. All these bidders were allocated to individual members to confirm accuracy of the elimination by the BEC.

6.1.4 Bidders who complied at phase 1, progressed to phase 2 and qualified to be considered for functionality.

## 6.2 Phase 2 - Technical / Functionality Requirements

6.2.1 During phase 2, seven **(07)** bidders were evaluated.

6.2.2 Each BEC evaluation panel member individually scored the bids, and the scores were aggregated.

6.2.3 The bidders' information was scored according to the below criteria: -

NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM SCORE
<b>1. Experience on similar job and Proven Track Record</b>	Proof of experience and expertise in the Field and proven track records. To this effect, Bidders are required to attach completion certificates from previous contracts.	<b>80</b>
<b>1.1. Background of the key personnel</b>	Organizational Profile - indicating organogram and capability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submitted = 20</li> <li>No Submission = 0</li> </ul>	<b>20</b>
<b>1.2 Value of Projects</b>	The contractor to provide previous similar projects bidding undertaken in the last 5 years <b>(05/11/2019-06/11/2024)</b> accompanied by a completion certificate/s signed by Project Manager as proof with the following values (Cumulatively):	<b>30</b>
	Above R 2 000 000 = 30	
	R 1 000 001- R2 000 000 = 20	
	R100 000 – R1 000 000 = 10	
<b>1.3 Number of Projects</b>	Previous Track Record of similar work done by the contractor in the last five years <b>(05/11/2019-06/11/2024): (Proof of completion certificates must be attached)</b>	<b>30</b>

	More than 3 completion certificates = 30	
	2-3 completion certificates = 20	
	1 completion certificate = 10	
<b>2. Financial Capability (Proof must be attached e.g. Bank account rating)</b>	<b>The bidder must demonstrate Financial Capability by providing the following Bank rating letter: -</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A rating = 20 points</li> <li>• B rating = 15 points</li> <li>• C rating = 10 points</li> </ul>	<b>20</b>
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>NB: Minimum Threshold: Bidders scoring less than 80 points during this stage would not be considered for the next stage of evaluation</b>	<b>100</b>

6.2.4 The bidders were individually allocated points across these areas and the outcome of this process is tabulated below: -

#	Bidder(s)	Capability Evaluation Criteria		Average Points Scored
		1	2	
2	Bantsi Engineering Services	40,00	11,25	<b>51,25</b>
4	Excellent Electrical Projects	60,00	20,00	<b>80,00</b>
5	Reamodirela Trading And Enterprise	60,00	15,00	<b>75,00</b>
6	SGT Electricals	65,00	20,00	<b>85,00</b>
7	Masiane Energy And Consulting	52,50	10,00	<b>62,50</b>
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects	70,00	10,00	<b>80,00</b>
17	Tumisang Transport And Projects JV Rolling Snowball Holdings	22,50	16,25	<b>38,75</b>

6.2.5 All scores were consolidated and calculated to determine the responsiveness of the bid.

6.2.6 In line with the condition of the bid, the following four **(04)** bidders were eliminated as they scored below the threshold: -

#	Bidder(s)	Capability Evaluation Criteria		Average Points Scored
		1	2	
2	Bantsi Engineering Services	40,00	11,25	<b>51,25</b>
5	Reamodirela Trading And Enterprise	60,00	15,00	<b>75,00</b>
7	Masiane Energy And Consulting	52,50	10,00	<b>62,50</b>
17	Tumisang Transport And Projects JV Rolling Snowball Holdings	22,50	16,25	<b>38,75</b>

6.2.7 The following three **(03)** bidders scored above the minimum required points as allocated in line with pre-determined areas of functionality: -

#	Bidder(s)	Capability Evaluation Criteria		Average Points Scored
		1	2	
4	Excellent Electrical Projects	60,00	20,00	<b>80,00</b>
6	SGT Electricals	65,00	20,00	<b>85,00</b>
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects	70,00	10,00	<b>80,00</b>

### 6.3 Phase 3 – Preference Points: Price and Points for Specific Goals

6.3.1 Three **(03)** bidders who scored minimum of eighty (80) points and above progressed to third phase.

6.3.2 80/20 Preference Points System was applied:

- 80 Points for Price
- 20 Points for Specific Goals

6.3.3 The bidders were individually allocated points, and the outcome of this process is tabulated below: -

#	SERVICE PROVIDER	PRICE	POINTS FOR PRICE	TOTAL POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS	TOTAL POINTS
<b>4</b>	<b>Excellent Electrical Projects</b>	<b>R1 649 479,04</b>	<b>80,00</b>	<b>8,08</b>	<b>88,08</b>
6	SGT Electrical	R3 199 255,84	4,84	4,00	8,84
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects	R2 899 959,37	19,35	4,00	23,35

6.3.4 The BAC noted on BEC report paragraph 5.3.2, that bidder **Excellent Electrical Projects** scored the highest points of **88,08** in terms of preferential points system.

6.3.5 Arithmetical errors on the pricing schedule of the bidder were identified by the BEC. BAC verified by checking the bid document (pricing schedule, page 14) of the bidder (Excellent Electrical Projects) and identified that line item 4.4.2 MV network on quantity of one (1) with a unit price of R10 000.00 the bidder recorded R1000.00 as the total amount, therefore the bidder understated the total amount by R9000.00. the BAC noted this understatement by the bidder and subject to the condition of the bid document which has a disclaimer on the errors



made by bidders when calculating final quote. The BAC, therefore, noted that this amount did not have any material impact on the final ranking and still had Excellent Electrical Projects as the highest scoring bidder.

6.3.5 The BAC also noted that a desktop market analysis on pricing was undertaken by the BEC during evaluation and that the BEC considered the price quote reasonable and as being within the project budget.

## 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1 The BEC confirmed in their report that the bidder was verified on the central supplier database, not restricted bidder, not a government employee, and tax compliant.

## 8. SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS

The Chairperson summarized the proceedings of the day as follows: -

1. Twenty **(20)** bidders responded at the closing date and time of the bid.
2. Seven **(07)** bidders did not attend compulsory site briefing and were not considered for evaluation.
3. Six **(06)** out of thirteen (13) bidders were disqualified at Phase 1.
4. Four **(04)** bidders were eliminated for obtaining less than eighty (80) points at Phase 2.
5. Three **(03)** bidders progressed to the third phase of evaluation as having scored a minimum of eighty (80) points.

## 9. RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 The BAC supports BEC's recommendation that bidder **Excellent Electrical Projects** be considered for appointment for upgrade of electricity infrastructure phase two at Taung Agricultural College for an amount of **R1 649 479,04** as the bidder meets all the bid requirements.



  
MR D. MOMPEI  
CHAIRPERSON

2024/12/12  
DATE

**Recommendation:** Approved /Not approved/ Approved with amendments 

Comments:

Approved granted for the  
approval of Exelent Electrical  
Budgets as recommended



MR T. MOKHATLA  
HEAD OF DEPARTMENT

DATE: 13/12/2024



**agriculture &  
rural development**

Department:  
Agriculture and Rural Development  
North West Provincial Government  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



AgriCentre Building Cnr.  
Dr. James Moroka and  
Stadium Rd  
Private Bag X2039,  
Mmabatho  
2735

**CHIEF DIRECTORATE: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT  
DIRECTORATE: SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT**

Tel: +27 (18) 384 6080  
E-mail: Matshekam@nwpg.gov.za

Ref: 7/1/2/2

**TO : HEAD OF DEPARTMENT**

**FROM : DEPARTMENTAL BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE (DBAC)**

**DATE : 12 DECEMBER 2024**

**SUBJECT : 13 DARD 19/2024: UPGRADE OF ELECTRICITY INFRASTRUCTURE  
PHASE TWO AT TAUNG AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE**

**1. PURPOSE**

To submit the Departmental Bid Adjudication Committee report to the Head of Department, for approval of bid **13 DARD 19/2024** – Upgrade of electricity infrastructure phase two at Taung Agricultural College.

**2. DETAILS OF THE BID**

Date of Advertisement : 14<sup>th</sup> October 2024  
Briefing Sessions : 24<sup>th</sup> October 2024 at 11:00  
Closing date of bid : 06<sup>th</sup> November 2024 at 11:00  
Number of bids received : 20  
Number of late bids : 0  
Validity period : 90 days after closing date

**3. BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

- 3.1 The Head of the Department granted the Financial Management Chief Directorate, authority to invite prospective bidders to submit bids for upgrade of electricity infrastructure phase two at Taung Agricultural College.
- 3.2 The need for this service was also identified as part of the approved Project List and Procurement plan.

- 3.3 An open bid was advertised on the 14<sup>th</sup> of October 2024 in the following Bulletins: -
- E-Tender Portal
  - Departmental Website

- 3.4 On the closing date, twenty **(20)** bidders responded and there were no late bids.

No	Bidder Name
1	Gwes Group
2	Bantsi Engineering Services
3	Ikageng Electrical Contractors 1
4	Excellent Electrical Projects
5	Reamodirela Trading And Enterprise
6	SGT Electricals
7	Masiane Energy And Consulting
8	Masgac Trading Enterprise
9	Full Swing Trading 458
10	Haki Consultant Engineers
11	Zulyk Trading Enterprise
12	Motsewarona Construction And Maintenance
13	Badirisani Trading Enterprise
14	Lemosa JV Kgothatso
15	Mohaumolutsi JV Experts Supplies
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects
17	Tumisang Transport And Projects JV Rolling Snowball Holdings
18	Kabelo Wa Matsheka Trading
19	Best Enough Trading And Projects 535 t/a Leanolarona Holdings
20	Colmab Electrical

- 3.5 The BAC noted on the BEC report, paragraph 3.4.1 that following seven **(07)** bidders did not attend compulsory site briefing and were not considered for evaluation: -

No	Bidder Name
1	Gwes Group
8	Masgac Trading Enterprise
9	Full Swing Trading 458
11	Zulyk Trading Enterprise
12	Motsewarona Construction And Maintenance
13	Badirisani Trading Enterprise
19	Best Enough Trading And Projects 535 t/a Leanolarona Holdings




#### 4. ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE MEMBERS

4.1 The Bid Adjudication Committee members, who adjudicated this bid, were appointed by the Head of Department and the following members accepted their appointments and attended the meeting: -

- Mr M. Mompei : Chairperson
- Ms M. Seate : Secretariat
- Ms M. Matsheka : Member
- Dr L. Madyibi : Member
- Ms B. Pule : Member
- Ms O. Bodigelo-Nyezi : Member

4.2 Having received confirmation that the BAC formed a quorum, the chairperson allowed members in attendance to declare their status in the bid and members indicated that they did not have any conflict of interest in the bid to be adjudicated. This was expressed by signing the declaration form, which is incorporated in the attendance register, as well as verbally.

The following members recorded their apologies: -

- Mr M. Matlhabe : Member – National Event out of the Province
- Ms A. Dikolomela : Member – Provincial Programme in Magogong

4.3 The meeting for the above-mentioned bid was held on the **28<sup>th</sup> of November 2024** at Ngwenya Hotel - Klerksdorp.

#### 5. BID DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED FOR EVALUATION ARE AS FOLLOWS: -

No	Bidder Name	Price
2	Bantsi Engineering Services	R2 017 194,17
3	Ikageng Electrical Contractors 1	R2 997 698,61
4	Excellent Electrical Projects	R1 649 479,04
5	Reamodirela Trading And Enterprise	R1 550 826,75
6	SGT Electricals	R3 199 255,84
7	Masiane Energy And Consulting	R3 142 766,00
10	Haki Consultant Engineers	R1 891 238,25
14	Lemosa JV Kgothatso	R1 718 806,10
15	Mohaumlutsi JV Experts Supplies	R2 894 298,75
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects	R2 899 959,37
17	Tumisang Transport And Projects JV Rolling Snowball Holdings	R1 294 890,34
18	Kabelo Wa Matsheka Trading	R3 889 700,00
20	Colmab Electrical	R2 519 420,00

## 5.1 Evaluation Methodology

The adjudication committee noted that the evaluation process entailed the following three (03) phases:

### 5.1.1 Phase 1 - Evaluation on Mandatory / Legal Requirements:

The prospective bidders are required to provide mandatory documentation as stated in the Bid Document.

### 5.1.2 Phase 2 - Technical / Functionality Requirements:

This evaluation is based on the responses using the set criteria i.e. experience on similar jobs, proven track record and financial capability. The threshold values set for the qualification of bidders was a minimum of eighty (80) points. Bidders who scored below minimum threshold were not considered for the next stage of evaluation.

### 5.1.3 Phase 3 – Preference Points (Price and Points for Specific Goals):

This stage entails evaluation in terms of Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, i.e. Evaluation of Price and Points for Specific Goals.

## 6. EVALUATION CRITERIA

### 6.1 Phase 1 - Evaluation on Mandatory / Legal Requirements

6.1.1 The bidders were required to comply with the below requirements: -

- Attend Compulsory Briefing Session
- Submit Standard bidding documents (original, fully completed and signed where applicable)
- Submit Copy of Central Supplier Database report.
- SARS Tax Pin.
- In case of Joint Venture, valid agreement between the parties
- Possess valid CIDB Grade 3EB/3EP Certificate or Higher

6.1.2 During phase 1 of evaluation, six (06) bidders out of thirteen (13) were eliminated with reason below: -

No	Bidders Name:	Reason for disqualification
3	Ikageng Electrical Contractors 1	Did not disclose other business interests (MAAA0557484) (MAAA0961276) (MAAA1142540) and are active in CSD system
10	Haki Consultant Engineers	CSD report not attached
14	Lemoso JV Kgothatso	Did not disclose other business interests (MAAA1367634) and is active in CSD system

15	Mohaumulutsi JV Experts Supplies	SBD1 not fully completed address part
18	Kabelo Wa Matsheka Trading	SBD1 not fully completed email address on SBD1 and 2.1 of SBD4
20	Colmab Electrical	Did not disclose other business interest (MAAA1284092) and is active in CSD system

6.1.3 The BAC subjected all six **(06)** bidders who were eliminated to a verification process to validate the reasons for elimination regardless of reason for elimination. All these bidders were allocated to individual members to confirm accuracy of the elimination by the BEC.

6.1.4 Bidders who complied at phase 1, progressed to phase 2 and qualified to be considered for functionality.

## 6.2 Phase 2 - Technical / Functionality Requirements

6.2.1 During phase 2, seven **(07)** bidders were evaluated.

6.2.2 Each BEC evaluation panel member individually scored the bids, and the scores were aggregated.

6.2.3 The bidders' information was scored according to the below criteria: -

NO.	CRITERIA	MAXIMUM SCORE
<b>1. Experience on similar job and Proven Track Record</b>	Proof of experience and expertise in the Field and proven track records. To this effect, Bidders are required to attach completion certificates from previous contracts.	<b>80</b>
<b>1.1. Background of the key personnel</b>	Organizational Profile - indicating organogram and capability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submitted = 20</li> <li>No Submission = 0</li> </ul>	<b>20</b>
<b>1.2 Value of Projects</b>	The contractor to provide previous similar projects bidding undertaken in the last 5 years <b>(05/11/2019-06/11/2024)</b> accompanied by a completion certificate/s signed by Project Manager as proof with the following values (Cumulatively): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Above R 2 000 000 = 30</li> <li>R 1 000 001- R2 000 000 = 20</li> <li>R100 000 – R1 000 000 = 10</li> </ul>	<b>30</b>
<b>1.3 Number of Projects</b>	Previous Track Record of similar work done by the contractor in the last five years <b>(05/11/2019-06/11/2024): (Proof of completion certificates must be attached)</b>	<b>30</b>

	More than 3 completion certificates = 30	
	2-3 completion certificates = 20	
	1 completion certificate = 10	
<b>2. Financial Capability (Proof must be attached e.g. Bank account rating)</b>	<b>The bidder must demonstrate Financial Capability by providing the following Bank rating letter: -</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A rating = 20 points</li> <li>• B rating = 15 points</li> <li>• C rating = 10 points</li> </ul>	<b>20</b>
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>NB: Minimum Threshold: Bidders scoring less than 80 points during this stage would not be considered for the next stage of evaluation</b>	<b>100</b>

6.2.4 The bidders were individually allocated points across these areas and the outcome of this process is tabulated below: -

#	Bidder(s)	Capability Evaluation Criteria		Average Points Scored
		1	2	
2	Bantsi Engineering Services	40,00	11,25	<b>51,25</b>
4	Excellent Electrical Projects	60,00	20,00	<b>80,00</b>
5	Reamodirela Trading And Enterprise	60,00	15,00	<b>75,00</b>
6	SGT Electricals	65,00	20,00	<b>85,00</b>
7	Masiane Energy And Consulting	52,50	10,00	<b>62,50</b>
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects	70,00	10,00	<b>80,00</b>
17	Tumisang Transport And Projects JV Rolling Snowball Holdings	22,50	16,25	<b>38,75</b>

6.2.5 All scores were consolidated and calculated to determine the responsiveness of the bid.

6.2.6 In line with the condition of the bid, the following four (04) bidders were eliminated as they scored below the threshold: -

#	Bidder(s)	Capability Evaluation Criteria		Average Points Scored
		1	2	
2	Bantsi Engineering Services	40,00	11,25	<b>51,25</b>
5	Reamodirela Trading And Enterprise	60,00	15,00	<b>75,00</b>
7	Masiane Energy And Consulting	52,50	10,00	<b>62,50</b>
17	Tumisang Transport And Projects JV Rolling Snowball Holdings	22,50	16,25	<b>38,75</b>



6.2.7 The following three **(03)** bidders scored above the minimum required points as allocated in line with pre-determined areas of functionality: -

#	Bidder(s)	Capability Evaluation Criteria		Average Points Scored
		1	2	
4	Excellent Electrical Projects	60,00	20,00	<b>80,00</b>
6	SGT Electricals	65,00	20,00	<b>85,00</b>
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects	70,00	10,00	<b>80,00</b>

### 6.3 Phase 3 – Preference Points: Price and Points for Specific Goals

6.3.1 Three **(03)** bidders who scored minimum of eighty (80) points and above progressed to third phase.

6.3.2 80/20 Preference Points System was applied:

- 80 Points for Price
- 20 Points for Specific Goals

6.3.3 The bidders were individually allocated points, and the outcome of this process is tabulated below: -

#	SERVICE PROVIDER	PRICE	POINTS FOR PRICE	TOTAL POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS	TOTAL POINTS
<b>4</b>	<b>Excellent Electrical Projects</b>	<b>R1 649 479,04</b>	<b>80,00</b>	<b>8,08</b>	<b>88,08</b>
6	SGT Electrical	R3 199 255,84	4,84	4,00	8,84
16	Lebo Tebo Trading And Projects	R2 899 959,37	19,35	4,00	23,35

6.3.4 The BAC noted on BEC report paragraph 5.3.2, that bidder **Excellent Electrical Projects** scored the highest points of **88,08** in terms of preferential points system.

6.3.5 Arithmetical errors on the pricing schedule of the bidder were identified by the BEC. BAC verified by checking the bid document (pricing schedule, page 14) of the bidder (Excellent Electrical Projects) and identified that line item 4.4.2 MV network on quantity of one (1) with a unit price of R10 000.00 the bidder recorded R1000.00 as the total amount, therefore the bidder understated the total amount by R9000.00. the BAC noted this understatement by the bidder and subject to the condition of the bid document which has a disclaimer on the errors

made by bidders when calculating final quote. The BAC, therefore, noted that this amount did not have any material impact on the final ranking and still had Excellent Electrical Projects as the highest scoring bidder.

6.3.5 The BAC also noted that a desktop market analysis on pricing was undertaken by the BEC during evaluation and that the BEC considered the price quote reasonable and as being within the project budget.

## 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1 The BEC confirmed in their report that the bidder was verified on the central supplier database, not restricted bidder, not a government employee, and tax compliant.

## 8. SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS

The Chairperson summarized the proceedings of the day as follows: -

1. Twenty **(20)** bidders responded at the closing date and time of the bid.
2. Seven **(07)** bidders did not attend compulsory site briefing and were not considered for evaluation.
3. Six **(06)** out of thirteen (13) bidders were disqualified at Phase 1.
4. Four **(04)** bidders were eliminated for obtaining less than eighty (80) points at Phase 2.
5. Three **(03)** bidders progressed to the third phase of evaluation as having scored a minimum of eighty (80) points.

## 9. RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 The BAC supports BEC's recommendation that bidder **Excellent Electrical Projects** be considered for appointment for upgrade of electricity infrastructure phase two at Taung Agricultural College for an amount of **R1 649 479,04** as the bidder meets all the bid requirements.



  
MR D. MOMPEI  
CHAIRPERSON

2024/12/12  
DATE

**Recommendation:** Approved /Not approved/ Approved with amendments Approved

Comments:

Approval granted for the  
approval of Exelent Electrical  
Projects as recommended.



MR T. MOKHATLA  
HEAD OF DEPARTMENT

DATE: 13/12/2024